IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of: Adam T. Lee et al.) Attorney Docket No. KOCH.56145
Serial No. 08/811,434 MAR 2 9 1999) Examiner: Scott Bushey
Filed: March 3, 1997) Art Unit: 1724
DOWNCOMER FOR CHEMICAL	
PROCESS TOWER)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, op:

3-23-99 Mehaelsburd

TRANSMITTAL OF APPELLANT'S BRIEF (PATENT APPLICATION - 37 C.F.R. 1.192)

RECEIVED

APR 2 1999

GROUP 1700

Asst. Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith, in triplicate, is the Appeal Brief in this application, with respect to the Notice of Appeal filed on March 11, 1999.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(c), the enclosed fee for filing the Appeal Brief is \$300.00.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional amount required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-2112.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael B. Hurd Reg. No. 32,241

March 23, 1999

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. One Kansas City Place 1200 Main Street Kansas City, Missouri 64105-2118 (816) 474-6550

THE COMMISSIONER IS HEREBY AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE ANY ADDITIONAL AMOUNT, REQUIRED OR CREDIT ANY OVERPAYMENT, TO ACCOUNT NO. 19-2112. A DUPLICATE COPY OF THIS SHEET IS ENCLOSED.



RECEIVED
APR 2 1999
GROUP 1700

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Adam T. Lee et al.

Serial No. 08/811,434

Filed: March 3, 1997

DOWNCOMER FOR CHEMICAL

PROCESS TOWER

Attorney Docket No. KOCH.56145

Examiner: Scott Bushey

Art Unit: 1724

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 2023

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

The Examiner is thanked for his helpful and courteous demeanor during the telephone interview conducted with the undersigned on February 3, 1999. During the interview, the Examiner inquired as to the difference in inventive entities between the present application and the parent application from which priority was claimed under 35 U.S.C. § 120. The undersigned explained that the naming of four inventors in the present application was not in conflict with the naming of only three inventors in the parent application because: (i) different inventions were claimed in the respective applications, and (ii) inventorship oaths had been signed by or on behalf of the inventors in the respective applications. The Examiner suggested that it might be helpful to have such a statement in the prosecution file.

No agreement was reached concerning the allowability of any claims during the interview.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael B. Hurd Reg. No. 32,241

MBH/tjd

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. One Kansas City Place 1200 Main Street Kansas City, Missouri 64105-2118 816/474-6550